1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 8 9 DEVELLE MERRITTE, 10 Petitioner, Case No. 2:14-cv-00587-JCM-NJK 11 VS. **ORDER** STATE OF NEVADA, et al., 12 Respondents. 13 14 15 The court directed petitioner to show cause why the court should not dismiss the petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 because petitioner had not exhausted his 16 17 available state-court remedies. Order (#6). Petitioner has not responded within the allotted time. 18 The court will dismiss the action. 19 Reasonable jurists would not find the court's determination to be debatable or wrong, and 20 the court will not issue a certificate of appealability. 21 This action does not toll the one-year period of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). Duncan v. Walker, 533 U.S. 167, 181-82 (2001). If petitioner files a new federal habeas corpus petition, then he is 22 responsible for ensuring that it is timely. 23 24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice for 25 failure to exhaust state-court remedies. The clerk of the court shall enter judgment accordingly. 26 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. 27 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall add Catherine Cortez Masto, Attorney

General for the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents.

28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the clerk shall electronically serve upon respondents a copy of the this order. No response is necessary. DATED: November 14, 2014. United States District Judge